UPDATED GUIDELINES ON SOUND CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

*Mr. Resty C. Cruz*Managing Director, BSP



OUTLINE

- > Rationale
- > Existing BSP guidance
- What banks are doing (current practices)
- Where banks are going (changes/updates)
- How to operationalize changes
- What's the implication/effect
- Supervisory Expectations



RATIONALE

- Provide minimum set of standards on credit risk management to ensure that:
 - exposures are appropriately identified, measured, monitored and controlled
 - □ Provisions are adequate relative to risks taken
- Further align existing BSP regulations with the Basel Core Principles and international best practices



EXISTING BSP GUIDANCE (MORB)

- Lending Policies Section X301
 - ✓ written policies to guide lending operations
- Grant of Loans Section X304
 - ✓ ascertain that borrower has the financial capacity to pay the loan
- **❖ Loan Review System Sec. X302 & App. 18**
 - ✓ To maintain quality of loan portfolio
 - ✓ For timely recognition of problem loans
 - ✓ To ensure adequate level of allowance for credit losses



PROPOSED UPDATED GUIDANCE

Sound credit risk management system covering the following areas:

- Establishing an appropriate credit risk environment
- Operating under a sound credit granting process
- Maintaining an appropriate credit administration, measurement, and monitoring process
- Maintaining an appropriate credit control process



WHAT RBs ARE DOING (CURRENT PRACTICES)

Credit Granting

- Inadequate basis for evaluating financial capacity
- Heavy reliance on collateral
- Continuous renewal without re-establishing financial capacity



WHAT RBs ARE DOING (CURRENT PRACTICES)

Credit Review and Monitoring

- Provisioning is based on aging of accounts
 - √Ok if tagging of past due is accurate
 - ✓ But, current loans with weaknesses are not considered in the classification/provisioning
- Relies on BSP for provisioning
- MIS not able to accurately report past due loans



Credit granting

- Primarily based on cash flow
- Not limited to AFS/ITR*, may use other sources but should be justified and documented
- For renewal/extension, creditworthiness should be re-established (redo process)
- * AFS/ITR shall only be required for borrowers with loan exposures of P3 million and up



• Credit Measurement (All banks)

- ICRRS for large loans
- Credit scoring models for retail credits
- Validation and stress testing policies

Credit Review, Monitoring & Control

- Adequate MIS
- Revised loan classification system
- Adopt revised Appendix 18
- Development of own loan loss methodology for individually and collectively assessed loans;



Revised Classification System

Especially Mentioned

Existing Provision

- ✓ Loans with unlocated collateral folders and documents
- ✓ Loans to firms not supported by board resolutions authorizing the borrowings;
- ✓ Loans without credit investigation report/s;
- ✓ Loans not supported by AFS/ITR
- ✓ Loans with other deficiencies were treated as "Miscellaneous Exceptions"

Proposed Revision

✓ Loans with deficiencies in underwriting, documentation, structure and/or administration that can compromise the bank's ability to control credit relationship if events adversely affect the borrower



Revised Classification System

Especially Mentioned

Existing Provision	Proposed Revision	
	✓ Continuous renewal without reduction in principal, except when capacity to pay has been re- established.	
	✓ Intermittent delays or inadequate repayment of principal, interest or periodic amortization	



Revised Classification System

Substandard

Existing Provision

- ✓ Current loans with unfavorable results of operations for two (2) years or with impaired/negative net worth except for start-up firms (case-to-case evaluation)
- ✓ Renewed/extended loans with declining trend in operations, illiquidity, or increasing leverage trend in the F/S without at least 20% repayment of the principal before renewal or extension

Proposed Revision

✓ Weak financial condition and results of operations that leads to the borrower's inability to generate sufficient cash flow, except for startup firms (case-to-case evaluation)



Revised Classification System

Substandard

Existing Provision	Proposed Revision
✓ Loans granted without requiring submission of the latest AFS/ITR to determine paying capacity of the borrower;	
✓ Loans with unsigned PNs or signed by unauthorized officers of the borrowing firm;	
	✓ Breach of any key financial covenants that will adversely affect the capacity to pay of borrower



Revised Appendix 18

Existing Provision	Proposed Revision
✓ Aging is based on past due as defined under Subsec X306.1	✓ Aging is based on delinquency in payment of principal and interest.
✓ Provisioning is fixed : EM - 5% Substandard - 10% / 25% Doubtful - 50% Loss - 100%	✓ Provisioning <u>escalates</u> based on expected loss considering future cash flows and collateral.
✓ Secured Ioan – default classification is "Substandard"	✓ If collaterals are insufficient, weak or without recoverable values, loans shall be treated as unsecured
✓ Individual assessment even for retail and small denominated loans	✓ Collective assessment for retail and small-denominated loans .

Basis for Classification of loan account:

Existing: Basis is "past due for more than 30 days"

Mode of Payment	Min. # of Arrears	Reckoning Date of Classification (Past due + 30 +1)	
Upon maturity	-	31 st day (30 + 1)	
Monthly	3	121 st day (90 + 30 + 1)	
Quarterly	1	121 st day (90 + 30 + 1)	
Semestral	1	211 th day (180 + 30 + 1)	
Annual	1	391 st day (360 + 30 + 1)	

Proposed: Missed payment on principal <u>and interest</u>"

Individually assessed loans	30 days after missed payment
Collectively assessed	Day following missed payment



Revised Appendix 18

Existing Provision	Proposed Revision
✓ Aging is based on past due as defined under Subsec X306.1	✓ Aging is based on delinquency in payment of principal and interest.
✓ Provisioning is fixed : EM - 5% Substandard - 10% / 25% Doubtful - 50% Loss - 100%	✓ Provisioning <u>escalates</u> based on expected loss considering future cash flows and collateral.
✓ Secured Ioan – default classification is "Substandard"	✓ If collaterals are insufficient, weak or without recoverable values, loans shall be treated as unsecured
✓ Individual assessment even for retail and small denominated loans	✓ Collective assessment for retail and small-denominated loans .

AGING OF ACCOUNTS (Individual assessment)

For unsecured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/Delinquent	Classification	ACL
31 - 90 days	Substandard	10%
91 - 120 days	Substandard	25%
121 - 180 days	Doubtful	50%
Over 180 days	Loss	100%

For secured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/Delinquent	Classification	ACL
31 - 120 days*	Substandard	10%
121 - 365 days	Substandard	25%
Over 1 year - 2 years	Doubtful	50%
Over 2 years	Loss	100%

^{*} When there is imminent possibility of foreclosure and expectation of loss, ACL shall be increased to 25%

Revised Appendix 18

Existing Provision	Proposed Revision
✓ Aging is based on past due as defined under Subsec X306.1	✓ Aging is based on delinquency in payment of principal and interest.
✓ Provisioning is fixed : EM - 5% Substandard - 10% / 25% Doubtful - 50% Loss - 100%	✓ Provisioning <u>escalates</u> based on expected loss considering future cash flows and collateral.
✓ Secured Ioan – default classification is "Substandard"	✓ If collaterals are insufficient, weak or without recoverable values, loans shall be treated as unsecured
✓ Individual assessment even for retail and small denominated loans	✓ Collective assessment for retail and small-denominated loans .

AGING OF ACCOUNTS (Collective assessment)

For unsecured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/Delinquent*	Classification	ACL
1 - 30 days	EM	2%
31 - 60 days	Substandard	25%
61 - 90 days	Doubtful	50%
Over 90 days/ 2 nd Restructuring	Loss	100%

^{*} PAR for microfinance loans

For secured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/		ACL (%)	
Delinquent	Classification	Other types	Secured by real
		of collateral	estate
31 - 90	Substandard	10	10
91 – 120	Substandard	25	15
121 – 360 days	Doubtful	50	25
361 days – 2 years	Loss	100	50
Over 2 years	Loss		100

HOW TO OPERATIONALIZE

Loan Loss Methodology

- Individually assessed loans
 - Estimates should consider the facts and circumstances that affect the repayment of loans
- Collectively assessed loans
 - Use of historical net charge-off rate adjusted for relevant factors that affect repayment of loans
- Use of experienced credit judgment
 - Clearly articulated in the policies and procedures
 - Estimates should be based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and adequately documented



Historical Loss Rate

 Represents a bank's experience of losses with a particular group of loans (often used for retail credits)

Historical net loss rate = loan losses less recoveries (averaged over a period of time)



Example of Historical Loss Rate Calculation

Assuming a salary loan portfolio of P5,000,000

Quarter	Loan Losses (a)	Recoveries (b)	Net (a-b) = (c)	Historical LR (C)/P5 million
1	200,000	75,000	125,000	2.5%
2	100,000	30,000	70,000	1.4%
3	300,000	120,000	180,000	3.6%
4	200,000	90,000	110,000	2.2%
	To	tal		9.7%

Historical net loss rate = 9.7% / 4 quarters = 2.4%



Given:

Salary Loan Portfolio	P 5.0 million	Past Due (PDL)	P 2.0 million
Booked Allowance for Credit Losses	P 0.6 million	PDL Ratio	40%
3-Year Historical Loss Rate Data			

		% that slides to over 180 days			
	Outstanding		Based on Histo	rical Data	
Days Past Due	Balance	2010	2011	2012	Ave.
Current to 30 days	3,000,000	3%	2%	4%	3%
31 to 60 days	700,000	25%	28%	25%	26%
61 to 90 days	300,000	30%	32%	28%	30%
91 to 120 days	200,000	32%	34%	33%	33%
121 to 150 days	200,000	55%	59%	60%	58%
151 to 180 days	300,000	85%	87%	89%	87%
Over 180 days	300,000	89%	91%	90%	90%
Total	5,000,000				



Computation using Basic Guidelines (Appendix 18)

			Outstanding	Required
Days Past Due	Classification	Provisions	Balance	Allowance
1 to 30 days	EM	2%	3,000,000	60,000
31 to 60 days	Substandard	25%	700,000	175,000
61 to 90 days	Doubtful	50%	300,000	150,000
Over 90 days	Loss	100%	1,000,000	1,000,000
Total			5,000,000	1,385,000
Booked Allowance				600,000
Proposed Additional Allow.				785,000



Computation using historical net charge off rate

		Average	Estimated Losses		
	Outstanding	Historical	Over 180 Days	Loss	Expected
Days Past Due	Balance	Loss Rate	(O/S Bal. x Ave.)	Rate	Losses
Current to 30 days	3,000,000	3%	90,000	90%	81,000
31 to 60 days	700,000	26%	182,000	90%	163,800
61 to 90 days	300,000	30%	90,000	90%	81,000
91 to 120 days	200,000	33%	66,000	90%	59,400
121 to 150 days	200,000	58%	116,000	90%	104,400
151 to 180 days	300,000	87%	261,000	90%	234,900
Over 180 days	300,000	90%	270,000	90%	270,000
Total	5,000,000				994,500
Booked Allowance					600,000
Proposed Additional Allo	wance				394,500



Basic Guidelines (Appendix 18)

			Outstanding	Required
Days Past Due	Class'n	Provisions	Balance	Allowance
1 to 30 days	EM	2%	3,000,000	60,000
31 to 60 days	Substandard	25%	700,000	175,000
61 to 90 days	Doubtful	50%	300,000	150,000
Over 90 days	Loss	100%	1,000,000	1,000,000
Total			5,000,000	1,385,000
Booked Allowance				600,000
Proposed Additional Allow.				785,000

Historical net charge off rate

	Outstanding	Loss	Est.	Loss	Expected
Days Past Due	Balance	Rate	Loss	Rate	Losses
Current to 30 days	3,000,000	3%	90,000	90%	81,000
31 to 60 days	700,000	26%	182,000	90%	163,800
61 to 90 days	300,000	30%	90,000	90%	81,000
91 to 120 days	200,000	33%	66,000	90%	59,400
121 to 150 days	200,000	58%	116,000	90%	104,400
151 to 180 days	300,000	87%	261,000	90%	234,900
Over 180 days	300,000	90%	270,000	90%	270,000
Total	5,000,000				994,500
Booked Allowance					600,000
Proposed Additiona	al Allowance			(394,500

 Collectively assessed loans shall be provided with a minimum provision of 1% (GLLP)

Implication/Effect:

- Application of historical net charge off rate may result to higher or lower provisions compared to prudential minimum, but, will be able to capture a realistic or reasonable estimate of loan-losses, including current loans that manifest weaknesses
- Adjustment in the MIS
 - for purposes of aging of delinquent accounts (basis is changed from past due to number of days of missed payment
 - Adoption of a credit risk rating system



Implication/Effect:

• For a bank that opts to adopt App. 18, it should ensure the accuracy of tagging of delinquent loan accounts. Otherwise, the required allowance for credit losses shall be grossed up based on the BSP's examination of sampled accounts.



Supervisory Expectations:

- Adequate credit policies and procedures aligned with the requirements of the proposed updated guidelines
- A sound credit granting process that primarily considers cash flow and other relevant factors in determining capacity to pay
- ➤ A credit risk rating/measurement system that provides a framework for effective monitoring and control of the quality of individual credit as well as total loan portfolio



Supervisory Expectations:

- > An effective credit review process that:
 - ✓ ensures that credits are granted in accordance with policies
 - ✓ Assesses the overall quality of assets, including appropriateness of classification and adequacy of loan-loss provisions
- A sound loan loss methodology that produces a reasonable and prudent measurement of estimated credit losses in the loan portfolio that are recognized in a timely manner
- MIS that is accurate, reliable and timely.

Thank You!





Basis for Classification of loan account:

Existing: Basis is "past due for more than 30 days"

Mode of Payment	Min. # of Arrears	Reckoning Date of Classification (Past due + 30 +1)
Upon maturity	-	31 st day (30 + 1)
Monthly	3	121 st day (90 + 30 + 1)
Quarterly	1	121 st day (90 + 30 + 1)
Semestral	1	211 th day (180 + 30 + 1)
Annual	1	391 st day (360 + 30 + 1)

Proposed: Missed payment on principal <u>and interest</u>"

Individually assessed loans	30 days after missed payment
Collectively assessed	Day following missed payment



AGING OF ACCOUNTS (Individual assessment)

For unsecured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/Delinquent	Classification	ACL
31 - 90 days	Substandard	10%
91 - 120 days	Substandard	25%
121 - 180 days	Doubtful	50%
Over 180 days	Loss	100%

For secured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/Delinquent	Classification	ACL
31 - 120 days*	Substandard	10%
121 - 365 days	Substandard	25%
Over 1 year - 2 years	Doubtful	50%
Over 2 years	Loss	100%

^{*} When there is imminent possibility of foreclosure and expectation of loss, ACL shall be increased to 25%

AGING OF ACCOUNTS (Collective assessment)

For unsecured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/Delinquent*	Classification	ACL
1 - 30 days	EM	2%
31 - 60 days	Substandard	25%
61 - 90 days	Doubtful	50%
Over 90 days/ 2 nd Restructuring	Loss	100%

^{*} PAR for microfinance loans

For secured loans and advances:

No. of Days Unpaid/			ACL (%)
Delinquent	Classification	Other types	Secured by real
		of collateral	estate
31 - 90	Substandard	10	10
91 – 120	Substandard	25	15
121 – 360 days	Doubtful	50	25
361 days – 2 years	Loss	100	50
Over 2 years	Loss		100